Youth group participation: A potential component of clinical care for behavioral difficulties

William M. Bannon, Jr. Mary M. McKay Mount Sinai School of Medicine

INTRODUCTION

- Conduct Disorder (CD) and Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) account for 1/3-1/2 of all youth mental health referrals (Knock, Kazdin, Hirripi, & Kessler, 2006)
- Estimates of childhood conduct problems suggest 5%-10% of children, ages of 8 and 16 years, have persistent oppositional/aggressive behavior problems (Angold & Costello, 2001)

INTRODUCTION

- The behaviors that are associated with CD and ODD are broad, yet are regularly associated with:
 - sexual risk-taking
 - substance abuse
 - delinquent behaviors

INTRODUCTION

- Reviews of the treatment of ODD and CD disorders note several forms of clinical care are successful in reducing these behaviors
- However, disorders often fail to be reduced from clinical levels to non-clinical levels of impairment (Burke et al., 2002; Knock et al., 2006)

INTRODUCTION

- This suggests clinical care may benefit from other supplemental sources of mental health support that may enhance behavioral change
- These supplemental sources may enhance services and facilitate youth reaching the tipping point between clinical and non-clinical levels of CD and/or ODD

INTRODUCTION

- There has been a recent movement toward considering resources born of the community as a means to benefit youth mental health and behavioral outcomes
- Resources born of the community often reflect the values and needs of a specific community, which is recognized as a key to effective clinical care

OBJECTIVES

The current study examines participation in youth groups (which are often a resource born of the community) as a possible factor protective of youth behavioral difficulties that may warrant consideration as a supplement to clinical care

LITERATURE REVIEW

- Sparse number of studies
- Research has linked youth group participation with reduced liklihood of substance abuse (Kerestes, Youniss, & Metz, 2004)
- Research has linked youth group participation with increased levels of youth prosocial behavior (Reinders-Heinz & Youniss, 2006)

LITERATURE REVIEW

- However, studies used convenience samples of mostly private school children
- Did not make rigorous inquiry into a broad range of sexual risk taking, substance abuse, and delinguent behaviors
- Prior works did not control for a large number of risk factors that may explain behavioral difficulties and which youth may even opt to join youth groups

RESEARCH QUESTION

- Will youth who participated in youth groups be significantly less likely to engage in sexual risk taking, substance abuse, and delinquent behaviors?
- Controlling for demographic characteristics, the presence of school problems, youth mental health state, exposure to community violence, and protective factors

METHODS

Study Setting

- All data were taken from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health
- One of the nation's largest and most rigorous studies of adolescent behavior
- Study uses Public-use dataset (Wave I)
- 5,612 of the 6,504 in this dataset provided data on youth group participation and are included in the current study

METHODS

Sample

- 52% female (n=3356) and 48% male (n=3147)
- Average child age is 16 years (*SD*=1.62)
- 66% (*n*=4291) White, 25% (*n*=1601) African-American, 12% (*n*=743) Hispanic, 4% (*n*=236) Asian, 1% (*n*=73) Native American, and 5% (*n*=297) other
- 10% (n=657) of families received public assistance in the last year

METHODS

Measures Outcomes

- <u>Delinquent behaviors</u>. Youth reported on 15 delinquent behaviors over last year
- <u>Sexual risk-taking</u>. Single item where youth were asked if they ever had sex <u>Substance abuse</u>. Youth reported lifetime use of cigarettes, alcohol, and illegal drugs
- Joint occurrences. Youth reported if they combined alcohol, drugs, driving, and/or school attendance over last year

METHODS

Measures

Independent variable

Participation in youth groups. Youth indicate if they attended youth groups weekly, infrequently (<once per month), or never, over the past 12 months.

METHODS

Measures

Covariates (control)

- Demographics. Race, age, sex, and family SES
- School problems. 2 items: 1) repeated a grade; and 2) out of school suspension
- Youth mental health state. 19-items
- Exposure to community violence. 8-items
- Protective factors. 8-items

METHODS

Data analysis

Logistic regression was used to examine the association between youth group participation and behavioral outcomes, while controlling for various other behavioral difficulty risk factors

RESULTS

Descriptive data

Independent variable

Youth group participation (past 12 months). n (%)

Weekly	1403 (25%)
Infrequently (<1 monthl	y) 1788 (32%)
Never	2421 (43%)
Total	5612 (100%)

Table 1. Delinqu	ent beha	avior and youth groups
Group attendance (la	st 12 month	ns) OR (95% CI)
1) Shoplifted	No (<i>n; %</i>)	Yes (<i>n; %</i>)
Weekly (Reference)1:	145 (82%)	254 (18%)
Infrequently 1	390 (78%)	391 (22%) 1.2 (.97-1.4) [†]
Never 1	829 (76%)	573 (24%) <u>1.2 (</u> 1.0-1.4)*
2) Used drugs	No (<i>n; %</i>)	Yes (<i>n; %</i>)
Weekly (Reference)13	335 (96%)	63 (4%)
		95 (5%) 1.0 (.71-1.4)
Never 2	197 (91%)	209 (9%) <u>1.4 (</u> 1.1-2.0)*
3) Stolen (<\$50)	No (<i>n; %</i>)	Yes (<i>n;</i> %)
Weekly (Reference)1:	195 (86%)	203 (14%)
Infrequently 1-	469 (82%)	313 (18%) 1.2 (.95-1.4)
Never 1	932 (80%)	473 (20%) <u>1.2</u> (1.0-1.5)*

†p<.10, *p<.05

21st Annual RTC Conference Presented in Tampa, February 2008

	Ial risk-taking ar (last 12 months)	nd youth groups OR (95% CI)
1) Ever had sex	No (<i>n; %</i>) Yes (<i>n;</i>	%)
Weekly (Reference	9)1003 (72%) 388 (28	%)
Infrequently	1078 (61%) 695 (39	%) <u>1.6</u> (1.3-1.9)***
Never	1317 (55%)1075(45	%) <u>1.7</u> (1.4-2.0)***
***p<.001		

Group attendance (ast 12 mont	hs)	OR (95% CI)
1) Smoked cigarette		Yes (n; %)	
Weekly (Reference)	761 (55%)	635 (45%)	
Infrequently	823 (46%)	967 (54%)	<u>1.2</u> (1.0-1.4)*
Never	967 (40%)	1441 (60%)	1.4 (1.2-1.6)***
2) Smoked regularly	/ No (<i>n; %</i>)	Yes (n; %)1	
Weekly (Reference)	223 (52%)	207 (48%)	
Infrequently	291 (41%)	412 (59%)	<u>1.4</u> (1.1-1.8)**
Never	426 (37%)	722 (63%)	1.5 (1.2-1.9)***
3) Liquor 2-3 times	No (<i>n; %</i>)	Yes (<i>n; %</i>)	
Weekly (Reference)	833 (60%)	563 (40%)	
Infrequently	782 (44%)	999 (56%)	1.7 (1.4-2.0)***
Never	927 (39%)	1478 (62%)	1.9 (1.6-2.2)***

Table 3. Substance abuse	/youth groups (cont.)
Group attendance (last 12 months	s) OR (95% CI)
4) Used marijuana No (<i>n;</i> %)	
Weekly (Reference)1177 (85%)	212 (15%)
Infrequently 1338 (79%)	436 (21%) <u>1.3</u> (1.0-1.5) *
Never 1605 (67%)	784 (33%) <u>2.1</u> (1.7-2.5)***
5) Used cocaine No (<i>n;</i> %)	Yes (<i>n; %</i>)
Weekly (Reference)1368 (98%)	22 (2%)
Infrequently 1731 (97%)	44 (3%) 1.2 (.68-2.00)
Never 2288 (96%)	98 (4%) <u>1.5</u> (.94-2.53) ⁺
6) Used other drug No (<i>n;</i> %)	Yes (<i>n; %</i>)
Weekly (Reference)1341 (97%)	48 (3%)
Infrequently 1681 (95%)	93 (5%) 1.2 (.86-1.8)
Never 2139 (90%)	245 (10%) <u>2.1</u> (1.5-2.9)***
†p<.10,*p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001	

Group attendance (1) Alcohol w/drugs		Yes (<i>n; %</i>)	OR (95% CI)
Weekly (Reference)			
		134 (35%)	1.1 (.74-1.6)
Never	395 (54%)	341 (46%)	<u>1.5</u> (1.0-2.1)*
2) Drive on drugs	No (<i>n; %</i>)	Yes (<i>n; %</i>)	
Weekly (Reference)	222 (87%)	34 (13%)	
Infrequently	334 (79%)	88 (21%)	1.5 (.92-2.3)
Never	615 (74%)	220 (26%)	<u>1.6</u> (1.0-2.4)*
3) High at school	No (<i>n; %</i>)	Yes (<i>n; %</i>)	
Weekly (Reference)	194 (76%)	62 (24%)	
Infrequently	291 (69%)	131 (31%)	1.3 (.92-1.9)
Never	511 (61%)	324 (39%)	<u>1.6</u> (1.2-2.3)**

DISCUSSION

- Data indicate a protective role, where youth who participate in youth groups are less likely to engage in CD and/or ODD related behaviors
- Additionally, a further protective role was evident where youth who already engaged in some risky behaviors, but also attended youth groups weekly, evidenced a reduced severity of engaging in these behaviors

DISCUSSION

- Youth that never attended youth groups were significantly more likely to have:
 - -shoplifted
 - -used drugs
 - stolen something worth \leq \$50

DISCUSSION

 Youth that infrequently/never attended youth groups were significantly more likely to have had sex

DISCUSSION

- Youth that infrequently/never attended youth groups were significantly more likely to have:
 - -smoked a cigarette
 - -smoked regularly
 - had a drink of beer, wine, or liquor more than 2 or 3 times in their lifetime
 - used marijuana, cocaine, or another illegal drug in their lifetime

DISCUSSION

- Of youth that had used drugs, youth that infrequently/never attended youth groups were significantly more likely to:
 - -drink alcohol when using drugs
 - -drive while high on drugs
 - -have gone to school while high on drugs

DISCUSSION

More questions than answers

- Are youth groups protective of problem behavior or are prosocial youth simply more likely to become involved?
- Does the format of particular youth groups impact behavioral outcomes (e.g., sports, mapping out the future)?

DISCUSSION

More questions than answers

- Is there a point on the developmental trajectory of a child when youth group attendance may be most effective in influencing behavioral outcomes?
- Just before puberty, before youth determine how to "get their kicks"?
- Could youth groups serve as a replacement behavior?

DISCUSSION

More questions than answers

- Finally, could youth groups be introduced as a support of clinical care?
- Our plan to test, RCT involving urban youth diagnosed with ODD and/or CD who are receiving clinical services, where members of the experimental group attend youth groups

Limitations

- Current data were limited in examining if youth groups facilitated prosocial behavior or if more prosocial youth were more likely to join youth groups
- Non-clinical sample
- Data regarding youth group format was unavailable and could not be compared to outcomes

CONCLUSION

- Weekly youth group participation may be a factor protective of behaviors related to ODD and/or CD among youth
- These findings may offer preliminary evidence supporting the implementation of youth groups as an addendum to clinical care that may enhance therapeutic outcomes